



## Acute Low Back Pain Guideline

For complete guideline, please go to [www.aafp.org](http://www.aafp.org)

or

<http://www.aafp.org/patient-care/clinical-recommendations/all/back-pain.html>

### Guideline History

Date Approved	05/03
Review/Revised	10/03, 10/05, 10/07, 11/09, 3/10, 10/12, 9/13, 09/15, 9/17
Next Review Date	9/19

# Diagnosis and Treatment of Acute Low Back Pain

BRIAN A. CASAZZA, MD, *University of North Carolina School of Medicine, Chapel Hill, North Carolina*

Acute low back pain is one of the most common reasons for adults to see a family physician. Although most patients recover quickly with minimal treatment, proper evaluation is imperative to identify rare cases of serious underlying pathology. Certain red flags should prompt aggressive treatment or referral to a spine specialist, whereas others are less concerning. Serious red flags include significant trauma related to age (i.e., injury related to a fall from a height or motor vehicle crash in a young patient, or from a minor fall or heavy lifting in a patient with osteoporosis or possible osteoporosis), major or progressive motor or sensory deficit, new-onset bowel or bladder incontinence or urinary retention, loss of anal sphincter tone, saddle anesthesia, history of cancer metastatic to bone, and suspected spinal infection. Without clinical signs of serious pathology, diagnostic imaging and laboratory testing often are not required. Although there are numerous treatments for nonspecific acute low back pain, most have little evidence of benefit. Patient education and medications such as nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, acetaminophen, and muscle relaxants are beneficial. Bed rest should be avoided if possible. Exercises directed by a physical therapist, such as the McKenzie method and spine stabilization exercises, may decrease recurrent pain and need for health care services. Spinal manipulation and chiropractic techniques are no more effective than established medical treatments, and adding them to established treatments does not improve outcomes. No substantial benefit has been shown with oral steroids, acupuncture, massage, traction, lumbar supports, or regular exercise programs. (*Am Fam Physician.* 2012;85(4):343-350. Copyright © 2012 American Academy of Family Physicians.)



ILLUSTRATION BY CRAIG ZUCKERMAN

► **Patient information:** Handouts on this topic are available at <http://familydoctor.org/familydoctor/en/diseases-conditions/low-back-pain.html> and [http://www.knowyourback.org/Documents/acute\\_lbp.pdf](http://www.knowyourback.org/Documents/acute_lbp.pdf).

Most persons will experience acute low back pain during their lifetime. The first episode usually occurs between 20 and 40 years of age. For many, acute low back pain is the first reason to seek medical care as an adult. Pain can be moderate to severe and debilitating, causing anxiety. Many cases are self-limited and resolve with little intervention. However, 31 percent of persons with low back pain will not fully recover within six months,<sup>1</sup> although most will improve. Recurrent back pain occurs in 25 to 62 percent of patients within one to two years, with up to 33 percent having moderate pain and 15 percent having severe pain.<sup>2-4</sup>

Acute low back pain can be defined as six to 12 weeks of pain between the costal angles and gluteal folds that may radiate down one or both legs (sciatica). Acute low back pain

is often nonspecific and therefore cannot be attributed to a definite cause. However, possible causes of acute low back pain (e.g., infection, tumor, osteoporosis, fracture, inflammatory arthritis) need to be considered based on the patient's history and physical examination. *Table 1* presents the differential diagnosis of acute low back pain.<sup>5,6</sup>

The goals of treatment for acute low back pain are to relieve pain, improve function, reduce time away from work, and develop coping strategies through education. Optimizing treatment may minimize the development of chronic pain, which accounts for most of the health care costs related to low back pain.<sup>7</sup>

## History and Physical Examination

An accurate history and physical examination are essential for evaluating acute low

**Table 1. Differential Diagnosis of Acute Low Back Pain**

<i>Diagnosis</i>	<i>Key clinical clues</i>
<b>Intrinsic spine</b>	
Compression fracture	History of trauma (unless osteoporotic), point tenderness at spine level, pain worsens with flexion, and while pulling up from a supine to sitting position and from a sitting to standing position
Herniated nucleus pulposus	Leg pain is greater than back pain and worsens when sitting; pain from L1-L3 nerve roots radiates to hip and/or anterior thigh, pain from L4-S1 nerve roots radiates to below the knee
Lumbar strain/sprain	Diffuse back pain with or without buttock pain, pain worsens with movement and improves with rest
Spinal stenosis	Leg pain is greater than back pain; pain worsens with standing and walking, and improves with rest or when the spine is flexed; pain may be unilateral (foraminal stenosis) or bilateral (central or bilateral foraminal stenosis)
Spondylolisthesis	Leg pain is greater than back pain; pain worsens with standing and walking, and improves with rest or when the spine is flexed; pain may be unilateral or bilateral
Spondylolysis	Can cause back pain in adolescents, although it is unclear whether it causes back pain in adults; pain worsens with spine extension and activity
Spondylosis (degenerative disk or facet joint arthropathy)	Similar to lumbar strain; disk pain often worsens with flexion activity or sitting, facet pain often worsens with extension activity, standing, or walking
<b>Systemic</b>	
Connective tissue disease	Multiple joint arthralgias, fever, weight loss, fatigue, spinous process tenderness, other joint tenderness
Inflammatory spondyloarthropathy	Intermittent pain at night, morning pain and stiffness, inability to reverse from lumbar lordosis to lumbar flexion
Malignancy	Pain worsens in prone position, spinous process tenderness, recent weight loss, fatigue
Vertebral diskitis/osteomyelitis	Constant pain, spinous process tenderness, often no fever, normal complete blood count, elevated erythrocyte sedimentation rate and/or C-reactive protein level
<b>Referred</b>	
Abdominal aortic aneurysm	Abdominal discomfort, pulsatile abdominal mass
Gastrointestinal conditions: pancreatitis, peptic ulcer disease, cholecystitis	Abdominal discomfort, nausea/vomiting, symptoms often associated with eating
Herpes zoster	Unilateral dermatomal pain, often allodynia, vesicular rash
Pelvic conditions: endometriosis, pelvic inflammatory disease, prostatitis	Discomfort in lower abdomen, pelvis, or hip
Retroperitoneal conditions: renal colic, pyelonephritis	Costovertebral angle pain, abnormal urinalysis results, possible fever
<hr/> <i>Information from references 5 and 6.</i>	

back pain. Often, patients awaken with morning pain or develop pain after minor forward bending, twisting, or lifting. It is also important to note whether it is a first episode or a recurrent episode. Recurrent episodes usually are more painful with increased symptoms. Red flags are often used to distinguish a common, benign episode from a more significant problem that requires urgent workup and treatment (Table 2).<sup>5,6,8</sup> A recent study shows that some red flags are more important than others, and that red flags overall are poor at ruling in more serious causes of low back pain.<sup>8</sup> Patients with back pain in the primary care setting (80 percent) tend to have one or more red flags, but rarely have a serious condition.<sup>8</sup> However, physicians should be aware of the signs and symptoms of cauda equina syndrome, major intra-abdominal pathology, infections, malignancy, and fractures

(Tables 1<sup>5,6</sup> and 2<sup>5,6,8</sup>). Cauda equina syndrome and infections require immediate referral. Family physicians should rely on a comprehensive clinical approach rather than solely on a checklist of red flags.

Pain from spine structures, such as musculature, ligaments, facet joints, and disks, can refer to the thigh region, but rarely to areas below the knee. Pain related to the sacroiliac joint often refers to the thigh, but can also radiate below the knee. Irritation, impingement, or compression of the lumbar root often results in more leg pain than back pain. Pain from the L1-L3 nerve roots will radiate to the hip and/or thigh, whereas pain from the L4-S1 nerve roots will radiate below the knee.

Neurologic examination of the lower extremities includes strength, sensation, and

**Acute low back pain is often nonspecific and therefore cannot be attributed to a definite cause.**

**Table 2. Red Flags for Serious Etiologies of Acute Low Back Pain**

Possible etiology	History findings	Physical examination findings
Cancer	Strong: Cancer metastatic to bone Intermediate: Unexplained weight loss Weak: Cancer, pain increased or unrelieved by rest	Weak: Vertebral tenderness, limited spine range of motion
Cauda equina syndrome	Strong: Bladder or bowel incontinence, urinary retention, progressive motor or sensory loss	Strong: Major motor weakness or sensory deficit, loss of anal sphincter tone, saddle anesthesia Weak: Limited spine range of motion
Fracture	Strong: Significant trauma related to age* Intermediate: Prolonged use of steroids Weak: Age older than 70 years, history of osteoporosis	Weak: Vertebral tenderness, limited spine range of motion
Infection	Strong: Severe pain and lumbar spine surgery within the past year Intermediate: Intravenous drug use, immunosuppression, severe pain and distant lumbar spine surgery Weak: Pain increased or unrelieved by rest	Strong: Fever, urinary tract infection, wound in spine region Weak: Vertebral tenderness, limited spine range of motion

NOTE: Presence of one or two weak or intermediate red flags may warrant observation because few patients will be significantly harmed if diagnosis of a serious cause is delayed for four to six weeks. Presence of any strong red flag warrants more urgent workup and probable referral to a spine subspecialist.

\*—Fall from a height or motor vehicle crash in a young patient, minor fall or heavy lifting in a patient with osteoporosis or possible osteoporosis.

Information from references 5, 6, and 8.

**Table 3. Neurologic Examination Findings in Patients with Acute Low Back Pain**

Affected nerve root	Motor deficit	Sensory deficit	Reflex	Disk herniation		
				Central	Paracentral	Lateral
L3	Hip flexion	Anterior/medial thigh	Patella	Above L2-L3	L2-L3	L3-L4
L4	Knee extension	Anterior leg/medial foot	Patella	Above L3-L4	L3-L4	L4-L5
L5	Dorsiflexion\great toe	Lateral leg/dorsal foot	Medial hamstring	Above L4-L5	L4-L5	L5-S1
S1	Plantar flexion	Posterior leg/lateral foot	Achilles tendon	Above L5-S1	L5-S1	None

reflex testing (Table 3), even in the absence of significant sciatica. A straight leg raise test is positive for L4-S1 nerve root pain if it radiates below the knee. A reverse straight leg raise test (extending hip and flexing knee while in the prone position) is positive for L3 nerve root pain if it radiates into the anterior thigh. A central, paracentral, or lateral disk herniation may affect different nerve roots at the same level. Examination of the lumbosacral, pelvic, and abdominal regions may provide clues to underlying abnormalities relating to back pain (Table 1<sup>5,6</sup> and 2<sup>5,6,8</sup>).

### Diagnostic Workup

Imaging is not warranted for most patients with acute low back pain. Without signs and symptoms indicating a serious underlying

condition, imaging does not improve clinical outcomes in these patients.<sup>9-11</sup> Even with a few weaker red flags, four to six weeks of treatment is appropriate before consideration of imaging studies.<sup>8-10</sup> If a serious condition is suspected, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is usually most appropriate. Computed tomography is an alternative if MRI is contraindicated or unavailable.<sup>10</sup> Clinical correlation of MRI or computed tomography findings is essential because the likelihood of false-positive results increases with age.<sup>12-14</sup> Radiography may be helpful to screen for serious conditions, but usually has little diagnostic value because of its low sensitivity and specificity.<sup>10</sup>

Laboratory tests such as complete blood count with differential, erythrocyte sedimentation rate, and C-reactive protein level may be beneficial if infection or bone marrow neoplasm is suspected. These tests may be most sensitive in cases of spinal infection because lack of fever and a normal complete blood count are common in patients with spinal infection.<sup>15</sup> Because laboratory testing lacks specificity, MRI with and without contrast media and, in many cases, biopsy are essential for accurate diagnosis.<sup>15</sup>

### Treatment of Nonspecific Pain

Many treatments are available for acute low back pain, but strong evidence for their benefit is lacking. Based on the evidence, a reasonable approach to treatment is described in Table 4.

#### RECOMMENDED

**Medications.** Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) are often first-line therapy for low back pain. Low-quality evidence suggests that they are effective for short-term symptom relief, compared

**Table 4. Approach to the Treatment of Nonspecific Acute Low Back Pain**

#### First visit

Patient education

Reassure the patient that the prognosis is often good, with most cases resolving with little intervention

Advise the patient to stay active, avoiding bed rest as much as possible, and to return to normal activities as soon as possible

Advise the patient to avoid twisting and bending

Initiate trial of a nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug or acetaminophen

Consider a muscle relaxant based on pain severity

Consider a short course of opioid therapy if pain is severe

Consider referral for physical therapy (McKenzie method and/or spine stabilization) if it is not the first episode

#### Second visit\*

Consider changing to a different nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug

Consider referral for physical therapy (McKenzie method and/or spine stabilization) if not done at initial visit

Consider referral to a spine subspecialist if pain is severe or limits function

\*—Two to four weeks after the initial visit, if the patient has not significantly improved.

with placebo.<sup>16</sup> No patient characteristics at baseline can predict the success of NSAID therapy.<sup>17</sup> Moderate evidence suggests that no one NSAID is superior, and switching to a different NSAID may be considered if the first is ineffective. Whether NSAIDs are more effective than acetaminophen is unknown, but the addition of an NSAID to acetaminophen therapy is no more beneficial than acetaminophen alone.<sup>16,18</sup>

Moderate-quality evidence shows that non-benzodiazepine muscle relaxants (e.g., cyclobenzaprine [Flexeril], tizanidine [Zanaflex], metaxalone [Skelaxin]) are beneficial in the treatment of acute low back pain. Most pain reduction from these medications occurs in the first seven to 14 days, but the benefit may continue for up to four weeks.<sup>19,20</sup> However, nonbenzodiazepine muscle relaxants do not affect disability status.<sup>19,20</sup> Very low-quality evidence shows that a short course (up to five days) of oral diazepam (Valium) may also be beneficial for pain relief.<sup>19</sup> Because all muscle relaxants have adverse effects, such as drowsiness, dizziness, and nausea, they should be used cautiously. Diazepam and carisoprodol (Soma) use should be brief to decrease the risk of abuse and dependence. There is also moderate-quality evidence that muscle relaxants combined with NSAIDs may have additive benefit for reducing pain.<sup>19</sup>

Opioids are commonly prescribed for patients with severe acute low back pain; however, there is little evidence of benefit. Three studies showed no difference in pain relief or time to return to work between oral opioids and NSAIDs or acetaminophen, and there is risk of harmful dose escalation over time with opioids, especially with purer formulations.<sup>16,21</sup>

Although epidural steroid injections are not beneficial for isolated acute low back pain, they may be helpful for radicular pain that does not respond to two to six weeks of noninvasive treatment. Transforaminal injections appear to have more favorable short- and long-term benefit than traditional interlaminar injections.<sup>22</sup>

**Patient Education.** Patient education involves a discussion of the often benign nature of acute back pain and reassurance

that most patients need little intervention for significant improvement. Patients should be advised to stay as active as possible, within pain limits; to avoid twisting and bending, particularly when lifting; and to return to normal activities as soon as possible. The goal is to reduce worry about back pain and to teach ways to avoid worsening of pain or pain recurrence.

High-quality evidence shows that individual patient education of greater than two hours is more effective than no education or less-intense education for pain that persists for four weeks or more.<sup>23</sup> Moderate-quality evidence shows that less-intense individual education and advice to stay active have small benefits and are at least as effective as other back pain interventions.<sup>23,24</sup> It is unclear whether patient education and advice for patients with acute low back pain are cost-effective.<sup>25</sup>

#### ACCEPTABLE

**Physical Therapy.** Physical therapists often recommend the McKenzie method or spine stabilization exercises for the treatment of low back pain. The McKenzie method is described at <http://www.mckenziemdt.org/approach.cfm>, and a video demonstration is available at <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=wBOp-ugJbTQ>. The McKenzie method has been shown to be slightly more effective than other common low back pain treatments; however, the difference is not clinically significant,<sup>26,27</sup> and evidence on its effect on disability is conflicting.<sup>26,27</sup> There also do not appear to be good long-term benefits with the McKenzie method, other than decreased need for health care services.<sup>27</sup> Spine stabilization exercises have been shown to decrease pain, disability, and risk of recurrence after a first episode of back pain.<sup>28</sup>

According to moderate-quality evidence, physical therapist-directed home exercise programs for acute back pain can reduce the rate of recurrence, increase the time between episodes of back pain, and decrease the need for health care services. Therefore, most of these exercise programs are cost-effective treatments for acute low back pain.<sup>29-31</sup>

**Imaging is not warranted for most patients with acute low back pain.**

**SORT: KEY RECOMMENDATIONS FOR PRACTICE**

<i>Clinical recommendation</i>	<i>Evidence rating</i>	<i>References</i>
Red flags are common in patients with acute low back pain and do not necessarily indicate serious pathology; therefore, physicians should rely on a comprehensive clinical approach to evaluating red flags in these patients.	C	5, 6, 8
Without findings suggestive of serious pathology, imaging is not indicated in patients with acute low back pain.	C	8-11
Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, acetaminophen, and muscle relaxants are effective treatments for nonspecific acute low back pain.	A	16-20
Patient education that includes advice to stay active, avoid aggravating movements, and return to normal activity as soon as possible and a discussion of the often benign nature of acute low back pain is effective in patients with nonspecific pain.	B	23, 24
Although regular exercises may not be beneficial in the treatment of nonspecific acute low back pain, physical therapy (McKenzie method and spine stabilization) may lessen the risk of recurrence and need for health care services.	B	26-31, 37-39
Spinal manipulation and chiropractic techniques are no more beneficial than established treatments for nonspecific acute low back pain, and their addition to established treatments does not improve outcomes.	B	18, 20, 25, 42-44
Bed rest is not helpful for nonspecific acute low back pain.	A	46

A = consistent, good-quality patient-oriented evidence; B = inconsistent or limited-quality patient-oriented evidence; C = consensus, disease-oriented evidence, usual practice, expert opinion, or case series. For information about the SORT evidence rating system, go to <http://www.aafp.org/afpsort.xml>.

*Application of Ice or Heat.* Low-quality evidence shows that in the first five days of acute low back pain, the use of heat treatments may be more effective for reducing pain and disability than nonheat wraps, NSAIDs, or acetaminophen, but shows no difference between heat application and McKenzie therapy at seven days.<sup>32</sup> A low-quality study found that heat therapy in conjunction with education or NSAIDs is more effective than education or NSAIDs alone at 14 days.<sup>33</sup> Ice and heat therapy have similar analgesic effects.<sup>32</sup>

**UNSUPPORTED**

*Oral Steroids.* A short course of oral corticosteroids has questionable benefit for patients with acute radicular leg pain.<sup>34</sup> However, there are no studies to support the use of oral steroids for isolated acute low back pain.

*Acupuncture.* Several low-quality trials show that acupuncture has minimal or no benefit over sham treatment, naproxen (Naprosyn), or the Chinese herbal therapy moxibustion.<sup>35,36</sup> Although evidence to support its effectiveness is limited, acupuncture

may be cost-effective in patients with pain lasting longer than four weeks.<sup>25</sup>

*Exercise.* Aerobic conditioning, strengthening exercises, flexibility exercises, or a combination of these exercises is no more effective than other treatments in patients with acute low back pain.<sup>37-39</sup>

*Lumbar Support.* It is unclear whether lumbar support is more effective than no intervention or other treatments for acute low back pain.<sup>40</sup>

*Massage.* There is insufficient evidence to recommend for or against massage therapy for acute low back pain.<sup>41,26</sup> It is unlikely to be cost-effective.<sup>25</sup>

*Spinal Manipulation and Chiropractic Techniques.* Low-quality evidence shows that spinal manipulation may be more effective than sham treatments in the short-term reduction of pain (less than six weeks), but no more effective in reducing disability.<sup>18,20,42,43</sup> There is little evidence that manipulation is cost-effective for treating acute low back pain.<sup>25</sup>

Although chiropractic techniques are considered safe if performed by a well-trained chiropractor, a systematic review found that

these techniques (e.g., manipulation, temperature modalities, exercises, mechanical devices, patient education) provide no clinically relevant improvement in pain or disability compared with other treatments.<sup>44</sup>

**Traction.** High-quality trials show no evidence of benefit with traction, as a single treatment or in combination with other treatments, in patients with acute or chronic back pain.<sup>45</sup> There are no studies on acute low back pain alone.

### INADVISABLE

**Bed Rest.** Bed rest should not be recommended for patients with nonspecific acute low back pain. Moderate-quality evidence suggests that bed rest is less effective at reducing pain and improving function at three to 12 weeks than advice to stay active.<sup>46</sup> Prolonged bed rest can also cause adverse effects such as joint stiffness, muscle wasting, loss of bone mineral density, pressure ulcers, and venous thromboembolism.<sup>37</sup>

**EDITOR'S NOTE:** This review of acute low back pain presents evidence against substantial benefit of spinal manipulation. Because there are differing viewpoints on this, we plan to run a pair of pro/con editorials to address this question in an upcoming issue. They will be linked back to this article online and round out the discussion of this topic.

**Data Sources:** We searched PubMed for the key term acute low back pain; this term was also searched with the following key terms: medications, nonsteroidals, muscle relaxants, opioids, red flags, differential diagnosis, exercise, McKenzie, spine stabilization, traction, acupuncture, heat, ice, advice, cost, manipulation, chiropractic care, brace, bed rest, massage. In addition, we searched the Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, Clinical Evidence, Essential Evidence Plus, and the National Guideline Clearinghouse. Search dates: April 2011 and May 2, 2011.

### The Author

BRIAN A. CASAZZA, MD, is medical director of the University of North Carolina's Spine Center in Chapel Hill. He is also a clinical associate professor in the Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation at the University of North Carolina School of Medicine.

*Address correspondence to Brian A. Casazza, MD, University of North Carolina School of Medicine, 101 Manning Dr., CB#7200, Chapel Hill, NC 27599-7200 (e-mail: brian\_casazza@med.unc.edu). Reprints are not available from the author.*

Author disclosure: No relevant financial affiliations to disclose.

### REFERENCES

- Carey TS, Garrett J, Jackman A, McLaughlin C, Fryer J, Smucker DR. The outcomes and costs of care for acute low back pain among patients seen by primary care practitioners, chiropractors, and orthopedic surgeons. The North Carolina Back Pain Project. *N Engl J Med*. 1995;333(14):913-917.
- Frymoyer JW. Back pain and sciatica. *N Engl J Med*. 1988;318(5):291-300.
- Carey TS, Garrett JM, Jackman A, Hadler N. Recurrence and care seeking after acute back pain: results of a long-term follow-up study. North Carolina Back Pain Project. *Med Care*. 1999;37(2):157-164.
- Stanton TR, Henschke N, Maher CG, Refshauge KM, Latimer J, McAuley JH. After an episode of acute low back pain, recurrence is unpredictable and not as common as previously thought. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2008;33(26):2923-2928.
- McIntosh G, Hall H. Clinical Evidence. Low back pain (acute). <http://www.clinicalevidence.com> (subscription required). Accessed May 2, 2011.
- Institute for Clinical Systems Improvement. Adult low back pain (guideline). November 2010. [http://www.icsi.org/guidelines\\_and\\_more/gl\\_os\\_prot/musculoskeletal/low\\_back\\_pain/low\\_back\\_pain\\_\\_adult\\_5.html](http://www.icsi.org/guidelines_and_more/gl_os_prot/musculoskeletal/low_back_pain/low_back_pain__adult_5.html). Accessed May 2, 2011.
- Becker A, Held H, Redaelli M, et al. Low back pain in primary care: costs of care and prediction of future health care utilization. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2010;35(18):1714-1720.
- Henschke N, Maher CG, Refshauge KM, et al. Prevalence of and screening for serious spinal pathology in patients presenting to primary care settings with acute low back pain. *Arthritis Rheum*. 2009;60(10):3072-3080.
- Chou R, Fu R, Carrino JA, Deyo RA. Imaging strategies for low-back pain: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet*. 2009;373(9662):463-472.
- Davis PC, Wippold FJ II, Brunberg JA, et al. American College of Radiology ACR appropriateness criteria. Low back pain. [http://www.acr.org/SecondaryMainMenuCategories/quality\\_safety/app\\_criteria/pdf/ExpertPanelonNeurologicImaging/lowbackpainDoc7.aspx](http://www.acr.org/SecondaryMainMenuCategories/quality_safety/app_criteria/pdf/ExpertPanelonNeurologicImaging/lowbackpainDoc7.aspx). Accessed on May 2, 2011.
- Webster BS, Cifuentes M. Relationship of early magnetic resonance imaging for work-related acute low back pain with disability and medical utilization outcomes. *J Occup Environ Med*. 2010;52(9):900-907.
- Boden SD, Davis DO, Dina TS, Patronas NJ, Wiesel SW. Abnormal magnetic-resonance scans of the lumbar spine in asymptomatic subjects. A prospective investigation. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 1990;72(3):403-408.
- Jensen MC, Brant-Zawadzki MN, Obuchowski N, Modic MT, Malkasian D, Ross JS. Magnetic resonance imaging of the lumbar spine in people without back pain. *N Engl J Med*. 1994;331(2):69-73.
- Cheung KM, Karppinen J, Chan D, et al. Prevalence and pattern of lumbar magnetic resonance imaging changes in a population study of one thousand forty-three individuals. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2009;34(9):934-940.
- Acosta FL Jr, Galvez LF, Aryan HE, Ames CP. Recent advances: infections of the spine. *Curr Infect Dis Rep*. 2006;8(5):390-393.
- Roelofs PD, Deyo RA, Koes BW, Scholten RJ, van Tulder MW. Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs for



- low back pain. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2008;(1):CD000396.
17. Hancock MJ, Maher CG, Latimer J, McLachlan AJ, Day RO, Davies RA. Can predictors of response to NSAIDs be identified in patients with acute low back pain? *Clin J Pain.* 2009;25(8):659-665.
  18. Hancock MJ, Maher CG, Latimer J, et al. Assessment of diclofenac spinal manipulative therapy, or both, in addition to recommended first-line treatment for acute low back pain: a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet.* 2007;370(9599):1638-1643.
  19. van Tulder MW, Touray T, Furlan AD, Solway S, Bouter LM. Muscle relaxants for non-specific low back pain. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2003;(2):CD004252.
  20. Hoiris KT, Pflieger B, McDuffie FC, et al. A randomized clinical trial comparing chiropractic adjustments to muscle relaxants for subacute low back pain. *J Manipulative Physiol Ther.* 2004;27(6):388-398.
  21. Cifuentes M, Webster B, Genevay S, Pransky G. The course of opioid prescribing for a new episode of disabling low back pain: opioid features and dose escalation. *Pain.* 2010;151(1):22-29.
  22. Roberts ST, Willick SE, Rho ME, Rittenberg JD. Efficacy of lumbosacral transforaminal epidural steroid injections: a systematic review. *PM R.* 2009;1(7):657-668.
  23. Engers A, Jellema P, Wensing M, van der Windt DA, Grol R, van Tulder MW. Individual patient education for low back pain. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2008;(1):CD004057.
  24. Dahm KT, Brurberg KG, Jamtvedt G, Hagen KB. Advice to rest in bed versus advice to stay active for acute low-back pain and sciatica. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2010;(6):CD007612.
  25. Lin CW, Haas M, Maher CG, Machado LA, van Tulder MW. Cost-effectiveness of guideline-endorsed treatments for low back pain: a systematic review. *Eur Spine J.* 2011;20(7):1024-1038.
  26. Machado LA, de Souza MS, Ferreira PH, Ferreira ML. The McKenzie method for low back pain: a systematic review of the literature with a meta-analysis approach. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976).* 2006;31(9):E254-E262.
  27. Machado LA, Maher CG, Herbert RD, Clare H, McAuley JH. The effectiveness of the McKenzie method in addition to first-line care for acute low back pain: a randomized controlled trial. *BMC Med.* 2010;8:10.
  28. Hides JA, Jull GA, Richardson CA. Long-term effects of specific stabilizing exercises for first-episode low back pain. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976).* 2001;26(11):E243-E248.
  29. Choi BK, Verbeek JH, Tam WW, Jiang JY. Exercises for prevention of recurrences of low-back pain. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2010;(1):CD006555.
  30. Gellhorn AC, Chan L, Martin B, Friedly J. Management patterns in acute low back pain: the role of physical therapy [published ahead of print November 19, 2010]. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976).* [http://journals.lww.com/spinejournal/Abstract/publishahead/Management\\_Patterns\\_in\\_Acute\\_Low\\_Back\\_Pain\\_\\_The.99251.aspx](http://journals.lww.com/spinejournal/Abstract/publishahead/Management_Patterns_in_Acute_Low_Back_Pain__The.99251.aspx) (subscription required). Accessed May 2, 2011.
  31. Fritz JM, Cleland JA, Speckman M, Brennan GP, Hunter SJ. Physical therapy for acute low back pain: associations with subsequent healthcare costs. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976).* 2008;33(16):1800-1805.
  32. French SD, Cameron M, Walker BF, Reggars JW, Esterman AJ. Superficial heat or cold for low back pain. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2006;(1):CD004750.
  33. Tao XG, Bernacki EJ. A randomized clinical trial of continuous low-level heat therapy for acute muscular low back pain in the workplace. *J Occup Environ Med.* 2005;47(12):1298-1306.
  34. Holve RL, Barkan H. Oral steroids in initial treatment of acute sciatica. *J Am Board Fam Med.* 2008;21(5):469-474.
  35. Furlan AD, van Tulder MW, Cherkin DC, et al. Acupuncture and dry-needling for low back pain. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2005;(1):CD001351.
  36. Kennedy S, Baxter GD, Kerr DP, Bradbury I, Park J, McDonough SM. Acupuncture for acute non-specific low back pain: a pilot randomised non-penetrating sham controlled trial. *Complement Ther Med.* 2008;16(3):139-146.
  37. Casazza BA, Young JL, Herring SA. The role of exercise in the prevention and management of acute low back pain. *Occup Med.* 1998;13(1):47-60.
  38. Hayden JA, van Tulder MW, Malmivaara A, Koes BW. Exercise therapy for treatment of non-specific low back pain. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2005;(3):CD000335.
  39. Lau PM, Chow DH, Pope MH. Early physiotherapy intervention in an accident and emergency department reduces pain and improves satisfaction for patients with acute low back pain: a randomised trial. *Aust J Physiother.* 2008;54(4):243-249.
  40. van Duijvenbode IC, Jellema P, van Poppel MN, van Tulder MW. Lumbar supports for prevention and treatment of low back pain. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2008;(2):CD001823.
  41. Furlan AD, Imamura M, Dryden T, Irvin E. Massage for low back pain: an updated systematic review within the framework of the Cochrane Back Review Group. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976).* 2009;34(16):1669-1684.
  42. Assendelft WJ, Morton SC, Yu EI, Suttrop MJ, Shekelle PG. Spinal manipulative therapy for low back pain. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2004;(1):CD000447.
  43. Jüni P, Battaglia M, Nüesch E, et al. A randomised controlled trial of spinal manipulative therapy in acute low back pain. *Ann Rheum Dis.* 2009;68(9):1420-1427.
  44. Walker BF, French SD, Grant W, Green S. A Cochrane review of combined chiropractic interventions for low-back pain. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976).* 2011;36(3):230-242.
  45. Clarke JA, van Tulder MW, Blomberg SE, et al. Traction for low-back pain with or without sciatica. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2007;(2):CD003010.
  46. Hagen KB, Hilde G, Jamtvedt G, Winnem M. Bed rest for acute low-back pain and sciatica. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2004;(4):CD001254.

**Figure.** Summary of the American College of Physicians guideline on noninvasive treatments for acute, subacute, or chronic low back pain.



**Summary of the American College of Physicians Guideline on Noninvasive Treatments for Acute, Subacute, or Chronic Low Back Pain**

Disease/Condition	Low back pain
Target Audience	All clinicians
Target Patient Population	Adults with acute, subacute, or chronic low back pain
Interventions Evaluated	<p>Pharmacologic interventions: NSAIDs, nonopioid analgesics, opioid analgesics, tramadol and tapentadol, antidepressants, SMRs, benzodiazepines, corticosteroids, antiepileptic drugs</p> <p>Nonpharmacologic interventions: interdisciplinary or multicomponent rehabilitation; psychological therapies; exercise and related interventions, such as yoga or tai chi; complementary and alternative medicine therapies, including spinal manipulation, acupuncture, and massage; passive physical modalities, such as heat, cold, ultrasound, transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation, electrical muscle stimulation, interferential therapy, short-wave diathermy, traction, LLLT, lumbar supports/braces</p>
Outcomes Evaluated	Pain, function, health-related quality of life, work disability/return to work, global improvement, number of back pain episodes or time between episodes, patient satisfaction, adverse effects
Benefits	<p><b>Acute low back pain</b></p> <p>Pharmacologic</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>NSAIDs: improved pain and function (small effect)</li> <li>SMRs: improved pain (small effect)</li> </ul> <p>Nonpharmacologic</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Heat wrap: improved pain and function (moderate effect)</li> <li>Massage: improved pain and function (at 1 but not 5 wk) (small to moderate effect)</li> <li>Acupuncture: improved pain (small effect)</li> <li>Spinal manipulation: improved function (small effect)</li> </ul> <p><b>Chronic low back pain</b></p> <p>Pharmacologic</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>NSAIDs: improved pain (small to moderate effect) and function (no to small effect)</li> <li>Opioids: improved pain and function (small effect) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Tramadol: improved pain (moderate effect) and function (small effect)</li> <li>Buprenorphine (patch or sublingual): improved pain (small effect)</li> </ul> </li> <li>Duloxetine: improved pain and function (small effect)</li> </ul> <p>Nonpharmacologic</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Exercise: improved pain and function (small effect)</li> <li>Motor control exercise: improved pain (moderate effect) and function (small effect)</li> <li>Tai chi: improved pain (moderate effect) and function (small effect)</li> <li>Mindfulness-based stress reduction: improved pain and function (small effect)</li> <li>Yoga: improved pain and function (small to moderate effect, depending on comparator)</li> <li>Progressive relaxation: improved pain and function (moderate effect)</li> <li>Multidisciplinary rehabilitation: improved pain (moderate effect) and function (no to small effect)</li> <li>Acupuncture: improved pain (moderate effect) and function (no to moderate effect, depending on comparator)</li> <li>LLLT: improved pain and function (small effect)</li> <li>Electromyography biofeedback: improved pain (moderate effect)</li> <li>Operant therapy: improved pain (small effect)</li> <li>Cognitive behavioral therapy: improved pain (moderate effect)</li> <li>Spinal manipulation: improved pain (small effect)</li> </ul> <p><b>Radicular low back pain</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Exercise: improved pain or function (small effect)</li> </ul>
Harms	<p>Generally poorly reported</p> <p>Pharmacologic</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>NSAIDs: increased adverse effects compared with placebo and acetaminophen (COX-2–selective NSAIDs decreased risk for adverse effects compared with traditional NSAIDs)</li> <li>Opioids: nausea, dizziness, constipation, vomiting, somnolence, and dry mouth</li> <li>SMRs: increased risk for any adverse event and central nervous system adverse events (mostly sedation)</li> <li>Benzodiazepines: somnolence, fatigue, lightheadedness</li> <li>Antidepressants: increased risk for any adverse event</li> </ul> <p>Nonpharmacologic</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Poorly reported, but no increase in serious adverse effects</li> </ul>

*Continued on following page*

<p>Recommendations</p>	<p>Recommendation 1: Given that most patients with acute or subacute low back pain improve over time regardless of treatment, clinicians and patients should select nonpharmacologic treatment with superficial heat (moderate-quality evidence), massage, acupuncture, or spinal manipulation (low-quality evidence). If pharmacologic treatment is desired, clinicians and patients should select nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs or skeletal muscle relaxants (moderate-quality evidence). (Grade: strong recommendation)</p> <p>Recommendation 2: For patients with chronic low back pain, clinicians and patients should initially select nonpharmacologic treatment with exercise, multidisciplinary rehabilitation, acupuncture, mindfulness-based stress reduction (moderate-quality evidence), tai chi, yoga, motor control exercise, progressive relaxation, electromyography biofeedback, low-level laser therapy, operant therapy, cognitive behavioral therapy, or spinal manipulation (low-quality evidence). (Grade: strong recommendation)</p> <p>Recommendation 3: In patients with chronic low back pain who have had an inadequate response to nonpharmacologic therapy, clinicians and patients should consider pharmacologic treatment with nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs as first-line therapy, or tramadol or duloxetine as second-line therapy. Clinicians should only consider opioids as an option in patients who have failed the aforementioned treatments and only if the potential benefits outweigh the risks for individual patients and after a discussion of known risks and realistic benefits with patients. (Grade: weak recommendation, moderate-quality evidence)</p>
<p>High-Value Care</p>	<p>Clinicians should reassure patients that acute or subacute low back pain usually improves over time regardless of treatment and should avoid prescribing costly and potentially harmful treatments. Systemic steroids were not shown to provide benefit and should not be prescribed for patients with acute or subacute low back pain, even with radicular symptoms. For treatment of chronic low back pain, clinicians should select therapies that have the fewest harms and lowest costs. Clinicians should avoid prescribing costly therapies and those with substantial potential harms, such as long-term opioids, and pharmacologic therapies that were not shown to be effective, such as tricyclic antidepressants and selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors.</p>
<p>Clinical Considerations</p>	<p>Clinicians should inform patients with acute or subacute low back pain of the generally very favorable outcome. Thus, patients can avoid potentially harmful and costly tests and treatments.</p> <p>Clinicians should advise patients with acute, subacute, or chronic low back pain to remain active as tolerated.</p> <p>Improvements in pain and function due to pharmacologic and nonpharmacologic interventions were small and often showed no clear differences compared with controls.</p> <p>Few differences in recommended therapies were found when they were studied in head-to-head trials. Therefore, clinicians should base treatment recommendations on patient preferences that also minimize harms and costs.</p>

COX-2 = cyclooxygenase-2; LLLT = low-level laser therapy; NSAID = nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug; SMR = skeletal muscle relaxant.

Note Reprinted from “Noninvasive Treatments for Acute, Subacute and Chronic Low Back Pain: A Clinical Practice Guideline From the American College of Physicians”, by Qaseem A (February 2017) Retrieved from <http://annals.org/aim/article/2603231/noninvasive-treatments-acute-subacute-chronic-low-back-pain>

## References

1. Qaseem A, Wilt TJ, McLean RM, Forcica MA, for the Clinical Guidelines Committee of the American College of Physicians. Noninvasive Treatments for Acute, Subacute, and Chronic Low Back Pain: A Clinical Practice Guideline from The American College of Physicians. *Ann Intern Med.* 2017; 166:514–530. Retrieved from <http://annals.org/aim/article/2603231/noninvasive-treatments-acute-subacute-chronic-low-back-pain>.